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Abstract

In this paper we study the most-demanding predicate for computing the Euclidean Voronoi diagram of axes-aligned line segments, namely the Incircle predicate. In particular, we show that the Incircle predicate can be answered by evaluating the signs of algebraic expressions of degree at most 6; this is half the algebraic degree we get when we evaluate the Incircle predicate using the current state-of-the-art approach.

1 Introduction

The Euclidean Voronoi diagrams of a set of line segments is one of the most well studied structures in computational geometry. There are numerous algorithms for its computation [5, 14, 16, 21, 7, 1, 15]. There are implementations that assume that numerical computations are performed exactly [19, 13], i.e., they follow the Exact Geometric Computation (EGC) paradigm [22], as well as algorithms that use floating-point arithmetic [10, 20, 9]; the latter class of algorithms does not guarantee exactness, but rather topological correctness.

Efficient and exact predicate evaluation in geometric algorithms is of vital importance. It has to be fast for the algorithm to be efficient. It has to be complete in the sense that it has to cover all degenerate cases, which, despite that fact that they are “degenerate” from the theoretical/analysis point-of-view, they are commonplace in real world input. In the EGC paradigm context, exactness is the bare minimum that is required in order to guarantee the correctness of the algorithm. The efficiency of predicates is typically measured in terms of the algebraic degree of the expressions (in the input parameters) that are computed during the predicate evaluation, as well as the number (and possibly type) of arithmetic operations involved. Degree-driven approaches for either the evaluation of predicates, or the design of the algorithm as a whole, has become an important question in algorithm/predicate design over the past few years [3, 17, 2, 4, 6, 18].

In this paper we are interested in the most demanding predicate of the Euclidean Voronoi diagram of axes-aligned line segments, namely the Incircle predicate. Axes-aligned segments are typical input instances in applications such as VLSI design [8]. Given three sites \( S_1, S_2, \) and \( S_3 \) we denote their Voronoi circle by \( V(S_1, S_2, S_3) \) (if it exists). There are at most two Voronoi circles defined by the triplet \( (S_1, S_2, S_3) \); the notation \( V(S_1, S_2, S_3) \) refers to the Voronoi circle that “discovers” the sites \( S_1, S_2 \) and \( S_3 \) in that (cyclic) order, when we walk on the circle’s boundary in the counterclockwise sense. Given a fourth object \( O \), which we call the query object, the Incircle predicate \( \text{Incircle}(S_1, S_2, S_3, O) \) determines the relative position \( O \) with respect to the disk \( D \) bounded by \( V(S_1, S_2, S_3) \). The predicate is positive if \( O \) does not intersect \( D \), zero if \( O \) touches the boundary but not the interior of \( D \), and negative if the intersection of \( O \) with the interior of \( D \) is non-empty.

The Voronoi circle of three sites does not always exist. In this paper, however, we assume that the Incircle predicate is called during the execution of an incremental algorithm for computing the Euclidean Voronoi diagram of line segments, and thus the first three sites are always related to a Voronoi vertex in the diagram. Since we can circularly rotate the first three arguments of the Incircle predicate, there are only eight possible distinct configurations for the Incircle predicate: \( \text{PPPX}, \text{PPSX}, \text{PSSX} \) and \( \text{SSSX} \), where \( P \) stands for point, \( S \) stands for segment, and \( X \) stands for either \( P \) or \( S \).

The predicates for the Euclidean Voronoi diagram of line segments, in the context of an incremental construction of the diagram, have already been studied by Burnikel [3]. Assuming that the input is either rational points, or segments described by their endpoints as rational points, Burnikel shows that the Incircle predicate can be evaluated using polynomial expressions of degree 40 in the input quantities (see the line dubbed “General [3]” in Table 1). Considering Burnikel’s approach for the case of axes-aligned line segments, and performing the appropriate simplifications in his calculations, we arrive at a new set of algebraic degrees for the various configurations of the Incircle predicate (see line dubbed “Axes-aligned [3]” in Table 1); now the most demanding case is the \( \text{PPSX} \) case, which gives algebraic degree 8 and 12, when the query object is a point and a segment, respectively.
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In Section 3 we analyze the PPSX configurations for the Incircle predicate, and show how we can reduce the algebraic degrees for this case from 8 and 12, to 6. This is done by means of three key ingredients: (1) we reduce the PPSP case to the PPSX case, (2) we express the Incircle predicate as a difference of distances, instead of as a difference of squares of distances, and (3) we formulate the Incircle predicate as an algebraic problem of the following form: we compute a linear polynomial $L(x) = l_1x + l_0$ and a quadratic polynomial $Q(x) = q_2x^2 + q_1x + q_0$, such that the result of the Incircle predicate is the sign of $L(x)$ evaluated at a specific root of $Q(x)$.

### 2 Evaluation of the sign of $L(x) = l_1x + l_0$ at a specific root of $Q(x) = q_2x^2 + q_1x + q_0$

Let $L(x) = l_1x + l_0$ and $Q(x) = q_2x^2 + q_1x + q_0$ be a linear and a quadratic polynomial, respectively, such that $Q(x)$ has non-negative discriminant. Let the algebraic degrees of $l_1$, $l_2$, $q_1$ and $q_0$ be $\delta_l$, $\delta_q + 1$, $\delta_q$, $\delta_q + 1$, and $\delta_q + 2$, respectively. We are interested in the sign of $L(r)$, where $r$ is one of the two roots $x_1 \leq x_2$ of $Q(x)$. Below we assume, without loss of generality, that $l_1, q_2 > 0$.

The obvious approach is to solve for $r$ and substitute into the equation of $L(x)$. Let $\Delta_Q = q_1^2 - 4q_2q_0$ be the discriminant of $Q(x)$. Then $r = (-q_1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta_Q})/(2q_2)$, which, in turn, yields $L(r) = (l_1q_1 + 2l_0q_2 \pm \sqrt{\Delta_Q})/(2q_2)$. Computing $\text{sign}(L(r))$ is dominated by the sign of $(l_1q_1 + 2l_0q_2 \pm l_1\sqrt{\Delta_Q})$. This amounts to evaluating expressions of algebraic degree at most $2(\delta_l + \delta_q + 1)$.

Observe now that evaluating the sign of $L(r)$ is equivalent to evaluating sign($Q(x^*)$), and possibly sign($Q'(x^*)$), where $x^* = -\frac{l_1}{q_2}$ stands for the unique root of $L(x)$. Since $Q(x^*) = (l_1^2q_0 - l_1q_1l_0 + q_2l_0^2)/l_1^2$ and $Q'(x^*) = (l_1q_1 - 2q_2l_0)/l_1$, we conclude that, in order to evaluate $\text{sign}(L(r))$, we need to consider expressions of algebraic degree at most $2\delta_l + \delta_q + 2$, which is smaller than the algebraic degree of the approach described early in this section, when $\delta_q > 0$.

### 3 The PPSX case

Let $A$ and $B$ be the two points and $CD$ be the segment defining the Voronoi circle. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $CD$ is $x$-axis parallel, since otherwise we can reduce Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q)$ to Incircle$(\mathcal{R}(B), \mathcal{R}(A), \mathcal{R}(CD), \mathcal{R}(Q))$, where $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ denotes the reflection transformation about the line $y = x$. Notice that $\mathcal{R}$ preserves circles and line segments, reverses orientations, and is inclusion preserving. Finally, $\mathcal{R}$ maps an $x$-axis parallel segment to a $y$-axis parallel segment, and vice versa. Hence, Incircle$(A, B, CD, QS) = \text{Incircle}(\mathcal{R}(B), \mathcal{R}(A), \mathcal{R}(CD), \mathcal{R}(QS))$.

### The query object is a point

Let $Q$ be the query point. For the Voronoi circle $V(A, B, CD)$ to be defined, both $A$ and $B$ must be on the same side with respect to $\ell_{CD}$. Consider now $Q$: if $Q$ does not lie on the side of $\ell_{CD}$ that $A$ and $B$ lie, we have Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q) > 0$. Testing the sidelessness of $Q$ against $\ell_{CD}$ simply means testing the sign of $y_Q - y_C$, which is a quantity of algebraic degree 1.

Suppose now that $Q$ lies on the same side of $\ell_{CD}$ as $A$ and $B$, and let $\sigma = \text{Orientation}(A, B, Q)$. In the special case $\sigma = 0$ (i.e., $Q$ lies on the line $\ell_{BA}$), we observe that $Q$ lies inside the Voronoi circle $V(A, B, CD)$ if and only if $Q$ lies on $\ell_{BA}$ and between $A$ and $B$. This can be determined by evaluating the signs of the differences $x_A - x_B$, $x_Q - x_A$ and $x_Q - x_B$, if $x_A \neq x_B$, or the signs of the differences $y_A - y_B$, $y_Q - y_A$ and $y_Q - y_B$, if $x_A = x_B$, which are all quantities of algebraic degree 1.

If $\sigma \neq 0$, we are going to reduce Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q)$ to Incircle$(A, B, Q, CD)$ (see also Fig. 1). Suppose first that $\sigma < 0$, i.e., $Q$ lies to the right of the oriented line $\ell_{BA}$. Since $A$, $B$ and $C$ appear on $V(A, B, CD)$ in that order when we traverse it in the counterclockwise sense, we conclude that $Q$ lies inside $V(A, B, CD)$ (resp., lies on $V(A, B, CD)$) if and only if the circle defined by $A$, $B$ and $Q$, does not intersect with (resp., touches) the segment $CD$. Hence, Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q) = -\text{Incircle}(A, B, Q, CD)$. In a similar manner, if $\sigma > 0$, i.e., $Q$ lies to the left of the oriented line $\ell_{BA}$, $Q$ lies inside $V(A, B, CD)$ (resp., lies on $V(A, B, CD)$) if and only if the circle defined by $B$, $A$ and $Q$ intersects the line segment $CD$. Hence, Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q) = \text{Incircle}(B, A, Q, CD)$.

Since the Incircle predicate, in the PSSS case, is of degree 6 (cf. Table 1), while Orientation$(B, A, Q)$ is of degree 2, we deduce that Incircle$(A, B, CD, Q)$ can also be answered using quantities of algebraic degree at most 6.

### The query object is a segment

Let $K$ be the center of $V(A, B, CD)$. $K$ is an intersection point of
the bisector of \( A \) and \( B \) and the parabola with focal point \( A \) and directrix the supporting line \( \ell_{CD} \) of \( CD \). Solving the corresponding system of equations we deduce that, in the general case where \( A \) and \( B \) are not equidistant from \( \ell_{CD} \) (i.e., if \( y_A \neq y_B \)), the \( x \)-coordinate of the Voronoi center \( x_K \), is a root of a quadratic polynomial \( P(x) = p_2x^2 + p_1x + p_0 \), while the \( y \)-coordinate of the Voronoi center \( y_K \), is a root of a quadratic polynomial \( T(y) = t_2y^2 + t_1y + t_0 \). Moreover, \( y_K \) and \( x_K \) are linearly dependent, i.e., \( y_K = \frac{a_1}{a_2}x_K + \frac{a_3}{a_2} \). The algebraic degrees of \( p_2, p_1, p_0, t_2, t_1 \) and \( t_0 \) are 1, 2, 3, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Furthermore, the degrees of \( a_1, a_0 \) and \( \beta \) are 1, 2 and 1, respectively. The roots \( x_1 \leq x_2 \) of the polynomial \( P(x) \) (resp., \( y_1 \leq y_2 \) of \( T(y) \)) correspond to the centers of the two possible Voronoi circles \( V(A,B,CD) \) and \( V(B,A,CD) \). The roots of \( P(x) \) and \( T(y) \) of interest are shown in the following two tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative positions of ( A, B ) and ( CD )</th>
<th>Root of ( P(x) ) of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y_C &lt; y_A &lt; y_B ) or ( y_C &lt; y_B &lt; y_A )</td>
<td>( x_1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( y_C &lt; y_B &lt; y_A ) or ( y_B &lt; y_A &lt; y_C )</td>
<td>( x_2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative positions of ( A, B )</th>
<th>Root of ( T(y) ) of interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x_A &lt; x_B )</td>
<td>( y_2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x_A &gt; x_B )</td>
<td>( y_1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let \( QS \) be the query segment. The first step is to compute \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,Q) \) and, if needed, \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,S) \). If at least one of \( Q \) and \( S \) lies inside \( V(A,B,CD) \), we get \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,QS) < 0 \). Otherwise, we need to determine if the line \( \ell_{QS} \) intersects \( V(A,B,CD) \). If \( \ell_{QS} \) does not intersect the Voronoi circle, we have \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,QS) > 0 \). If \( \ell_{QS} \) intersects the Voronoi circle we have to check if \( Q \) and \( S \) lie on the same or opposite sides of the line \( \ell_{QS}^\perp(K) \) that goes through the Voronoi center \( K \) and is perpendicular to \( \ell_{QS} \). Notice that since \( QS \) is axis-aligned, the line \( \ell_{QS}^\perp(K) \) is either the line \( x = x_K \) or the line \( y = y_K \). Answering the Incircle predicate is equivalent to comparing the distance of \( K \) from the line \( \ell_{QS} \) to the segment \( CD \):

\[
\text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,\ell_{QS}) = d(K,\ell_{QS}) - d(K,CD). \quad (1)
\]

Let us now examine and analyze the right-hand side difference of (1). Since the segment \( CD \) is \( x \)-axis parallel, \( d(K,CD) = |y_K - y_C| \). Recall that \( y_K \) is a specific root of the quadratic polynomial \( T(y) \). Therefore, determining the sign of \( y_K - y_C \) reduces to evaluating the signs of \( T(y_C) \) and \( T'(y_C) \). Assume first that the segment \( QS \) is \( x \)-axis parallel. In this case, the equation of \( \ell_{QS} \) is \( y = y_Q \), and, hence, \( d(K,\ell_{QS}) = |y_K - y_Q| \). As before, we can determine the sign of \( y_K - y_Q \) by evaluating the signs of \( T(y_Q) \) and \( T'(y_Q) \). Hence, \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,\ell_{QS}) = |y_K - y_Q| - |y_K - y_C| = J_1y_K + J_0 \), where \( J_1 \) and \( J_0 \) are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( y_K - y_Q )</th>
<th>( y_K - y_C )</th>
<th>( J_1 )</th>
<th>( J_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\geq 0</td>
<td>\geq 0</td>
<td>\alpha + \beta</td>
<td>\beta(y_K - y_Q - \alpha_0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0</td>
<td>&lt; 0</td>
<td>\alpha - \beta</td>
<td>\beta(y_K + y_C - \alpha_0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clearly, if \( J_1 = 0 \) we have \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,\ell_{QS}) = \text{sign}(J_0) \). Otherwise, evaluating \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,\ell_{QS}) \) can be done as in Subsection 2. Since the algebraic degrees of \( J_1 \) and \( J_0 \) are 0 and 1, respectively, we can resolve the Incircle predicate using expressions of algebraic degree at most 4.

Consider now the case where \( QS \) is \( y \)-axis parallel. The equation of \( \ell_{QS} \) is \( x = x_Q \), and, thus, \( d(K,\ell_{QS}) = |x_K - x_Q| \). As in the \( x \)-axis parallel case, \( x_K \) is a specific known root of the quadratic polynomial \( P(x) \), i.e., determining the sign of \( x_K - x_Q \) amounts to evaluating the signs of \( P(x_Q) \) and \( P'(x_Q) \). Using the fact that \( y_K = \frac{a_1}{a_2}x_K + \frac{a_3}{a_2} \), we get

\[
\text{Incircle}(S_1,S_2,S_3,\ell_{QS}) = |x_K - x_Q| - |y_K - y_C| = \frac{1}{2}(L_1x_K + L_0), \quad \text{where } L_1 \text{ and } L_0 \text{ are given in the following table.}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x_K - x_Q )</th>
<th>( y_K - y_C )</th>
<th>( L_1 )</th>
<th>( L_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\geq 0 \quad \geq 0</td>
<td>\alpha + \beta \quad \beta(y_K - x_Q - \alpha_0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0 \quad &lt; 0</td>
<td>\alpha - \beta \quad \beta(y_K + x_Q - \alpha_0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If \( L_1 = 0 \), \( \text{Incircle}(S_1,S_2,S_3,\ell_{QS}) = \text{sign}(L_0)\text{sign}(\beta) \). Otherwise, given that \( x_K \) is a known root of \( P(x) \), determining the sign of \( L_1x_K + L_0 \) can be done as in Subsection 2. Since the algebraic degrees of \( L_1 \) and \( L_0 \) are 1 and 2, respectively, evaluating the sign \( L_1x_K + L_0 \) reduces to computing the signs of expressions of algebraic degree at most 5.

As we mentioned at the beginning of this subsection, if \( \text{Incircle}(A,B,CD,\ell_{QS}) \leq 0 \), we need to check the position of \( Q \) and \( S \) with respect to the either line.
x = x_K (if QS is x-axis parallel), or the line y = y_K (if QS is y-axis parallel). To check the position of I, I ∈ {Q, S}, against the line x = x_K, we simply have to compute the signs of P(x_I) and P'(x_I). The algebraic degrees of these quantities are 3 and 2, respectively. In a symmetric manner, to check the position of I, I ∈ {Q, S}, against the line y = y_K, we simply have to compute the signs of T(y_I) and T'(y_I); their algebraic degrees are 4 and 3, respectively.

For the special case y_A = y_B, we easily get x_K = \frac{1}{2}(x_A + x_B) and y_K = \frac{U}{2T}, where the algebraic degrees of U_2 and U_1 are 2 and 1, respectively. If QS is x-axis parallel, we need to determine the sign of the quantity d(K, I_QS) − d(K, CD) = |y_K − y_Q| − |y_K − y_C|, or, equivalently, the signs of U_1 and [U_2 − U_1y_Q] − [U_2 − U_1y_C], which are of algebraic degree 1 and 2, respectively. If QS is y-axis parallel, we need to evaluate the sign of d(K, I_QS) − d(K, CD) = |x_K − x_Q| − |x_K − x_C|, or, equivalently, the signs of U_1 and [U_1(x_A + x_B − 2x_Q)] − [2(U_2 − U_1y_Q)], which are also of algebraic degree 1 and 2, respectively.

Recalling that, in order to evaluate Incircle(A, B, C, D, QS), the first step is to evaluate Incircle(A, B, C, D, Q), and, if needed, Incircle(A, B, C, S), we conclude that in order to evaluate the Incircle predicate in the PPSs case, we need to compute the sign of expressions of algebraic degree at most 6.

4 Future work

Our analysis is so far theoretical. We would like to implement the approach presented in this paper and compare it against the generic implementation in CGAL [12]. Finally, we would like to study and implement the rest of the predicates involved in the computation of the Voronoi diagram, when the line segment are axes-aligned.
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