### Singularity formation in black hole interiors

Grigorios Fournodavlos

DPMMS, University of Cambridge

Heraklion, Crete, 16 May 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Outline

#### The Einstein equations

Examples Initial value problem

#### Large time behaviour

Global existence vs blow up Cosmic censorship hypothesis

#### Spherical symmetry

Resolution of the scalar field model

Beyond spherical symmetry 'near' Schwarzschild Construction of singular solutions

Non-linear dynamics in polarized axisymmetry

Geometric background: A Lorentzian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}^{1+3}, g)$  of signature (-, +, +, +), endowed with the topology  $\mathcal{M}^{1+3} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^3$ .



The Einstein equations (EE) stipulate that g satisfies:

$$R_{ab}(g) - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R(g) = 8\pi T_{ab},$$
  $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3,$ 

where  $R_{ab}(g)$  is the Ricci curvature of g, R(g) its scalar curvature and  $T_{ab}$  the energy-momentum tensor of a matter field (electromagnetic, fluid etc.), satisfying the conservation laws:<sup>1</sup>

$$abla^a T_{ab} = 0, \qquad b = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

In vacuum,  $T_{ab} = 0$ , the EE reduce to

$$R_{ab}(g) = 0,$$
  $a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3.$  (EVE)

<sup>1</sup>By virtue of the second Bianchi identity.

Examples



- ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ → □ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Examples

► The Schwarzschild solution  $(\mathcal{M}^{1+3}, g)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^{1+3} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{S}^2$ : (vacuum & spherically symmetric)<sup>2</sup>

$$g = -(1 - \frac{2M}{r})dt^2 + (1 - \frac{2M}{r})^{-1}dr^2 + r^2d\mathbb{S}^2, \quad M > 0,$$



<sup>2</sup>Rigidity, Birkhoff's theorem.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

Initial value problem

• In wave coordinates  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ :

$$\Box_g x_i = 0, \qquad \Box_g = (g^{-1})^{ab} (\partial_{ab} - \Gamma^k_{ab} \partial_k)$$
$$\Gamma^i := (g^{-1})^{ab} \Gamma^i_{ab} = 0$$

the EVE take the hyperbolic form

$$\Box_g g_{ab} = Q(g^{-1}, \partial g)$$

Theorem (Choquet-Bruhat & Geroch '69) Any asymptotically fact initial data set  $(\Sigma, \overline{g}, K)$  for the EVE, gives rise to a unique maximal development.

Initial data sets

- Minkowski:  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\overline{g} = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + dx_3^2$ , K = 0.
- Schwarzschild:  $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ ,  $\overline{g} = (1 \frac{2M}{r})^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\mathbb{S}^2$ , K = 0.
- Constraint equations (in vacuum):

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{R}(\overline{g}) - |\mathcal{K}|^2 + (\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{g}}\mathcal{K})^2 = 0\\ \overline{\nabla}^a \mathcal{K}_{ab} - \overline{\nabla}_b \mathrm{tr}_{\overline{g}}\mathcal{K} = 0, \qquad b = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

2. Large time behaviour

#### Large time behaviour

Global existence vs Blow up

- The flat solution is stable: Christodoulou-Klainerman '93, Lindblad-Rodnianski '10,...
- ► The Schwarzschild exterior is linearly stable Dafermos-Holzegel-Rodnianski '16, within the Kerr family of solutions, K(a, M), |a| < M.</p>



### Large time behaviour

Global existence vs blow up

- No general blow up mechanism.
- Inside a black hole region, the space-time "breaks down" (Penrose '65). However, the nature of the breakdown is not given (geodesically incomplete). The presence of such a region is a stable phenomenon.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Large time behaviour

Cosmic censorship hypothesis

Theoretical tests of GR proposed by Penrose '69:

- Strong cosmic censorship hypothesis: Einsteinian maximal developments, for reasonable matter models, arising from generic, asymptotically flat initial data are inextendible as suitably regular Lorentzian manifolds.
- Weak cosmic censorship hypothesis: All future singularities should be contained in black holes (no naked singularities). In other words, future null infinity *I*<sup>+</sup> should be complete.
- Examples: The Minkowski and Schwarzschild solutions satisfy both weak and strong cosmic censorship, while Kerr satisfies weak cosmic censorship, but violates strong cosmic censorship.

3. Spherical symmetry

### Spherical symmetry

Resolution of the scalar field model

Consider the energy-momentum tensor of a massless-scalar field:

$${\cal T}_{ab}=\partial_aarphi\partial_barphi-rac{1}{2}{\sf g}_{ab}\partial^karphi\partial_karphi$$

The Einstein equations are complemented with the equations of motion  $\nabla^a T_{ab} = 0$ :

$$\mathsf{R}_{ab}(g) - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\mathsf{R}(g) = 8\pi(\partial_a\varphi\partial_b\varphi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}\partial^k\varphi\partial_k\varphi)$$
$$\Box_g\varphi = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Spherical symmetry

Resolution of the scalar field model

#### Theorem (Christodoulou 90's)

Spherically symmetric solutions to the Einstein-scalar field equations, arising from asymptotically flat, 1-ended initial data fall in either of the three cases:



# Spherical symmetry

Resolution of the scalar field model

 $\mathsf{and}$ 





(日)、

э

However, the third case is unstable (non-generic).

4. Beyond spherical symmetry 'near'-Schwarzschild

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

### Beyond spherical symmetry 'near' Schwarzschild

- Exterior region: Conjecturally stable, within the Kerr family of solutions. Only linearised stability has been proven.
- Interior region: Conjectural picture is unknown. Singularity formation or violation of strong cosmic censorship (as in Kerr)? Spacelike or null inner boundary? Monotonic blow up or chaotic? (BKL)

#### Beyond spherical symmetry 'near' Schwarzschild Construction of singular solutions

Idea: Prescribe initial data for the EVE at  $\Sigma_0$ , such that

$$\overline{g} = \overline{g}_{S} + r^{lpha}\overline{h}, \qquad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{S} + r^{lpha}u, \qquad \overline{h}, u ext{ smooth, } \alpha > 0,$$

and solve backwards-in-time, without any symmetry assumptions.



#### Theorem (F. '16)

For  $\alpha \gg 1$ , such a construction is possible, generating solutions to the EVE which exhibit a Schwarzschild type singularity at a collapsed sphere.

#### Beyond spherical symmetry 'near' Schwarzschild Non-linear dynamics in polarized axisymmetry

Assume g admits a spacelike Killing vector field  $\partial_{\varphi}$  with  $\mathbb{S}^1$  orbits, which is also hypersurface orthogonal:

$$g = \sum_{a,b=0,1,2} h_{ab} dx_a dx_b + e^{2\gamma} d\varphi^2$$

In this symmetry class, the EVE reduce to the system (Weinstein '90):

$$\Box_{g}\gamma = 0$$
  
$$\mathsf{R}_{ab}(h) = \nabla_{ab}\gamma + \nabla_{a}\gamma\nabla_{b}\gamma$$

Note: Under the additional conformal change  $\tilde{h} := e^{2\gamma}h$ , the above equations transform into the Einstein-scalar field model.

# Beyond spherical symmetry 'near' Schwarzschild

Non-linear dynamics in polarized axisymmetry

The Schwarzschild solution:

$$h_{S} = -\left(\frac{2M}{r} - 1\right)^{-1} dr^{2} + \left(\frac{2M}{r} - 1\right) dt^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2},$$
  
$$\gamma_{S} = \log r + \log \sin \theta$$

On the other hand, the Kerr metric is axisymmetric, but not polarized. Restricting to polarized axisymmetric perturbations of Schwarzschild:

- Exterior region: Conjectural asymptotic convergence to Schwarzschild (possibly of different *M*), see Klainerman-Szeftel '17.
- ► Interior region: (work on progress w/ Alexakis) Strong evidence for stable spacelike singularity formation, where |Riem| ~ r<sup>-3</sup>.